

**DEVELOPMENT OF MYSat (1U CUBESAT):
MISSION ANALYSIS AND DESIGN**

AHMAD SHAQEER MOHAMED THAHEER

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2017

**DEVELOPMENT OF MYSat (1U CUBESAT):
MISSION ANALYSIS AND DESIGN**

by

AHMAD SHAQEER MOHAMED THAHEER

**Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Science**

November 2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, my most profound gratitude to Allah SWT for giving me the life and the opportunity to write this report. He gave me the power to believe in myself, and without His guidance, it will be a hard time for me to complete this report and may not finish within time.

I offer my sincere thankfulness to Dr. Norilmi Amilia Ismail as my supervisor for her sustained enthusiasm and efforts in helping me to understand the fundamental knowledge in Space Engineering as well as in life. Through her broad knowledge and experience, she has brought great values to me. She has the willingness in motivating me as well as sacrificing her time to explain any uncertainties and giving constructive comments.

I take immense pleasure to express my sincere and deep sense of appreciation to my co-supervisor, Dr. Norizham Abdul Razak, for his exemplary guidance throughout the project. I learned a lot from him about the structural analysis and analyzing the structural behavior, which I am sure, will be useful in the near future. I solemnly submit my honest and humble appreciation to him. I would like to include a special note of thanks to Mr. Mohd. Izmir Yamin from Independence-X Aerospace Sdn. Bhd. as he has been my utmost respect and role-model for sharing his knowledge, resources, and time.

Finally, yet importantly, I am eternally grateful to my beloved family, lecturers and friends for their unconditional love, encouragement, from both physical and emotional, and respects toward my decision in choosing aerospace engineering as my field of study. Although, they know the difficulties in the field in Malaysia, yet they are selfless and continue to support me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
ABSTRAK	xxii
ABSTRACT	xxiv
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Research Background	1
1.1.1 CubeSat Development	1
1.1.2 Electron-density Measurement	1
1.1.3 MYSat Mission	2
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Research Objectives	4
1.4 Research Scope	4
1.5 Thesis Outline	6
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 CubeSat Mission Heritages	8
2.2 Mission Analysis and Design	9

2.2.1	Orbital Analysis	10
2.3	Subsystem Design	19
2.3.1	Electrical Power Subsystem	19
2.3.2	Communication and Onboard Computer Subsystem	24
2.3.3	Thermal Environment and Control Subsystem	28
2.3.4	Structure and Mechanism Subsystem	33
2.4	Overall Literature Summary	47

CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY

3.1	Space Mission Analysis and Design (SMAD) Process	48
3.2	Definition of Objectives	49
3.2.1	Risk Analysis	49
3.3	Mission Characterization	50
3.3.1	Mission Operations and Modes	51
3.4	Mission Evaluation	52
3.4.1	Orbital Analysis	54
3.4.2	Electrical Power Subsystem	66
3.4.3	Communication and Onboard Computer Subsystem	68
3.4.4	Thermal Environment and Control Subsystem	73
3.4.5	Structure and Mechanism Subsystem	79

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Definition of Objectives	94
4.1.1	Mission Statements	94
4.1.2	Mission Objectives	94

4.1.3	System Requirements and Constraints	96
4.1.4	Staging of Goals - Success Criteria	97
4.1.5	Risk Analysis	98
4.2	Mission Characterization	100
4.2.1	Mission Operations and Modes	100
4.2.2	End-of-Life (EOL) Procedure	101
4.2.3	Desired Launch and Deployment Date of CubeSat	101
4.3	Orbital Analysis	103
4.3.1	MYSat Orbital Elements	103
4.3.2	MYSat Lifetime	103
4.3.3	Solar Power Generation	105
4.3.4	Ground Track and Accessibility	109
4.3.5	Summary	111
4.4	Subsystem Design	112
4.4.1	Electrical Power Subsystem	112
4.4.2	Communication and Onboard Computer Subsystem	115
4.4.3	Thermal Environment and Control Subsystem	123
4.4.4	Structure and Mechanism Subsystem	128

CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION

5.1	Research Summary	148
5.2	Future Work	150

REFERENCES	151
-------------------	------------

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Trade-off Analysis: Previous Launched

Appendix B: Transforming position and velocity vectors to Kepler elements

Appendix C: North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD)'s Two-line
Element (TLE)

Appendix D: HPOP Force Model

Appendix E: MYSat Technical Design

Appendix F: MYSat Project Gantt Chart

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Relationship of an orbital lifetime, orbital period, semi-major axis	12
Table 2.2	Forces considered by each propagator (Vallado, 2007)	17
Table 2.3	Comparison between propagators. (Kilic, 2013; Vallado, 2001)	18
Table 2.4	J-SSOD Specification (JAXA, 2015)	35
Table 2.5	Example of previously launched small satellites by J-SSOD (JAXA, 2017)	36
Table 3.1	Example of the problem and its solution	49
Table 3.2	Astrogator simulation settings (Williams, 2013)	55
Table 3.3	MYSat STK and lifetime analysis settings	59
Table 3.4	Solar cell type, design, and its efficiency (National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), n.d.)	63
Table 3.5	FOV associated parameters	65
Table 3.6	Data frame parameters	69
Table 3.7	Communication losses	72
Table 3.8	Summary of MYSat material properties (ASM Aerospace Specification Metals Inc., 2017b, 2017a; E-Z LOK, 2017; Francois, 2010)	81
Table 3.9	Summary of ANSYS analysis setup	84
Table 3.10	Case study for static analysis during equilibrium condition	87
Table 3.11	Maximum vehicle acceleration	87
Table 3.12	Case study for static analysis during equilibrium condition	88

Table 3.13	Random vibration values for each launch vehicle (JAXA, 2015; Ramakrishnan et al., 1999)	91
Table 4.1	MYSat Success level	97
Table 4.2	Schedule risk analysis	99
Table 4.3	Comparison of classical orbital elements between MYSat and ISS after 30 minutes MYSat deployment	103
Table 4.4	Global statistics for MYSat lighting times	105
Table 4.5	MYSat lighting times per season	106
Table 4.6	MYSat solar power theoretical generation across different solar cell efficiency	107
Table 4.7	Global statistics of simulated power generation on different solar shape and material	108
Table 4.8	MYSat solar panel power estimation	108
Table 4.9	Global statistics of MYSat Azimuth, Elevation, and Range	110
Table 4.10	Global statistics on MYSat access times	110
Table 4.11	Summary of MYSat orbit performance results	111
Table 4.12	MYSat battery depth-of-discharge	112
Table 4.13	MYSat power budget	114
Table 4.14	Summary of MYSat power analysis results	115
Table 4.15	On-orbit data rate	116
Table 4.16	Required and estimated daily downlink	116
Table 4.17	Required telemetry buffer	116
Table 4.18	MYSat uplink	118
Table 4.19	MYSat downlink	119
Table 4.20	Summary of MYSat link analysis results	123

Table 4.21	MYSat beta angle throughout the mission lifetime	123
Table 4.22	MYSat seasonal temperature throughout mission lifetime	124
Table 4.23	Summary of MYSat thermal analysis results	127
Table 4.24	Summary of ANSYS baseline test result	129
Table 4.25	Comparison of static analysis during equilibrium condition for both design	130
Table 4.26	Comparison of static analysis during launch condition for both design on different launch vehicle	133
Table 4.27	Comparison of the natural frequency of modular and monoblock design	135
Table 4.28	Comparison of resulting equivalent stress due to random vibration for both design on the different launch vehicle	138
Table 4.29	Mass budget trade-off between 1U CubeSat examples and lightweight satellite (Geeroms et al., 2015; Hill, 2012; Larson et al., 1999b)	143
Table 4.30	Evaluated mass from CATIA	144
Table 4.31	Coordinates of MYSat center of gravity for both design	144
Table 4.32	MYSat concept design margin of safety	145
Table 4.33	Summary of MYSat orbit performance results	147

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Geocentric equatorial frame and the orbital elements (Curtis, 2010)	11
Figure 2.2	Secular and periodic variations of an orbital element (Vallado, 2007)	14
Figure 2.3	Perturbation accelerations around Earth orbits (Fortescue et al., 2003)	15
Figure 2.4	Description of the umbra and penumbra effects (Arroyo, 2009)	22
Figure 2.5	Earth orbit rotation concerning its season (Larson et al., 1999)	30
Figure 2.6	Satellite in LEO orbit exposed to external heat inputs (Larson et al., 1999)	30
Figure 2.7	(a) OPAL (Heidt et al., 2000). (b) P-POD (Puig-Suari et al., 2001)	33
Figure 2.8	J-SSOD module (JAXA, 2007)	35
Figure 2.9	Frame design. (a) Monoblock (Brown Space Engineering, 2017). (b) Modular (Davis et al., 2012)	37
Figure 2.10	(a) Square pipes and plates design of FITSAT-1 (K. Tanaka et al., 2012). (b) Monoblock CAD drawing of EquiSat (Brown Space Engineering, 2017)	38
Figure 2.11	Example of internal configuration. (a) Stacking (Davis et al., 2012). (b) Slotting (Brown Space Engineering, 2017)	39
Figure 2.12	Example of sides configuration (Arce et al., 2006)	40
Figure 2.13	Outline of external CubeSat (Waydo et al., 2002)	40

Figure 2.14	Structural design flowchart (Chiranjeeve et al., 2014)	44
Figure 3.1	SMAD process (Larson et al., 1999)	48
Figure 3.2	Risk assessment process for CubeSat mission	50
Figure 3.3	Mission concept exploration flow (Larson et al., 1999)	51
Figure 3.4	Mission evaluation analysis flowchart	53
Figure 3.5	Steps in developing requirements baseline (Larson et al., 1999)	54
Figure 3.6	Initial orbital elements study flowchart	56
Figure 3.7	SGP4 satellite properties user interface	57
Figure 3.8	STK Lifetime tool user interface	58
Figure 3.9	Lifetime analysis flowchart	59
Figure 3.10	Lighting times generated report	60
Figure 3.11	Solar power generation analysis flowchart	63
Figure 3.12	Satellite's FOV (Larson et al., 1999a)	64
Figure 3.13	Typical satellite secondary battery cycle life (Larson et al., 1999)	67
Figure 3.14	TeNeP science unit (Hsu et al., n.d.)	69
Figure 3.15	Path-length (Bokulic et al., 2011)	72
Figure 3.16	Beta angle. (a) Maximum beta angle. (b) Minimum beta angle (Dinh, 2012)	74
Figure 3.17	STK SEET Thermal settings	76
Figure 3.18	STK SEET Radiation settings	77
Figure 3.19	STK SEET Particle Flux settings	78
Figure 3.20	FEM analysis flowchart (Miglioreno et al., 2014)	79
Figure 3.21	MYSat meshing configuration in ANSYS	84

Figure 3.22	Free-body diagram of an axially loaded member. (a) Applied axial load on a beam and (b) Elastic deformation occurred during axial load (Hibbeler, 2016)	85
Figure 3.23	J-SSOD launch configuration (JAXA, 2015)	86
Figure 3.24	The free-body diagram for static analysis. (a) Top fixed and (b) Bottom fixed	86
Figure 3.25	J-SSOD coordinate system definition (JAXA, 2015)	88
Figure 3.26	The free-body diagram for modal analysis	89
Figure 3.27	Random Vibration of each available launch vehicle (JAXA, 2015; Ramakrishnan et al., 1999)	90
Figure 3.28	Half-wave dipole antenna (Steer, 2014)	92
Figure 4.1	Risk analysis score matrix	98
Figure 4.2	MYSat concept of operations	102
Figure 4.3	Comparisons of MYSat orbital lifetime with previously launched 1U CubeSat	104
Figure 4.4	Lighting times	105
Figure 4.5	On-orbit power generation for one orbit	109
Figure 4.6	MYSat ground track	111
Figure 4.7	MYSat uplink communication block diagram	120
Figure 4.8	MYSat downlink communication block diagram	121
Figure 4.9	Antenna in a stowed configuration	122
Figure 4.10	MYSat deployed configuration	122
Figure 4.11	MYSat beta angle during its lifetime	124
Figure 4.12	MYSat vehicle temperature throughout the mission	125
Figure 4.13	Radiation dose received for MYSat	126

Figure 4.14	Probability of particle impacts rate of MYSat	127
Figure 4.15	Preliminary MYSat frame design concept. (a) Monoblock and (b) Modular frame	128
Figure 4.16	ANSYS baseline test results. (a) Equivalent (von-Mises) stress and (b) Total deformation	129
Figure 4.17	Total deformation results in m for the bottom fixed case. (a) Modular frame and (b) Monoblock frame	130
Figure 4.18	Total deformation results in m for the top fixed case. (a) Modular frame and (b) Monoblock frame	131
Figure 4.19	von-Mises stress results in Pa for the bottom fixed case. (a) Modular frame and (b) Monoblock frame	131
Figure 4.20	von-Mises stress results in Pa for the top fixed case. (a) Modular frame and (b) Monoblock frame	132
Figure 4.21	Maximum total deformation results in m during Orbital Cygnus launch in X-axis. (a) Modular frame and (b) Monoblock frame	134
Figure 4.22	Maximum von-Mises stress results in Pa during Orbital Cygnus launch in Z-axis. (a) Modular frame and (b) Monoblock frame	134
Figure 4.23	Mode shape results for modular frame. (a) Mode 1, (b) Mode 2, (c) Mode 3, (d) Mode 4, (e) Mode 5, and (f) Mode 6	136
Figure 4.24	Mode shape results for monoblock frame. (a) Mode 1, (b) Mode 2, (c) Mode 3, (d) Mode 4, (e) Mode 5, and (f) Mode 6	137
Figure 4.25	von-Mises stress results of the modular frame under random vibration load for a different launcher. (a) HTV, (b) ATV, (c) SpX Dragon, (d) Orbital Cygnus, and (e) PSLV	139
Figure 4.26	von-Mises stress results of the monoblock frame under random vibration load for a different launcher. (a) HTV, (b) ATV, (c) SpX Dragon, (d) Orbital Cygnus, and (e) PSLV	140

Figure 4.27	MYSat assembly design	141
Figure 4.28	MYSat exploded view	142
Figure 4.29	Proposed circuit burner schematic	146
Figure 4.30	MYSat antenna deployment mechanism prototype	146

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	Semi-major axis
A	Cross-sectional area
A_0	Total radiating surface area
A_{FP}	Footprint area
A_{sa}	Solar array area
β	Beta angle
B^*	Adjusted value of BC
BC, BN	Ballistic coefficient
$BW_{Helical}$	Helical antenna beamwidth
c	Speed of light in a vacuum, i.e., $3 \times 10^8 \text{ ms}^{-1}$
C_D	Drag coefficient
C_r	Battery capacity
δ	Minimum communication elevation
d	Magnitude of center of gravity
DOD	Percentage of depth-of-discharge
ε_0	Surface emissivity of the satellite
e	Eccentricity
E	Material modulus of elasticity
$EIRP$	Effective isotropic radiated power
$\frac{E_b}{N_0}$	Ratio of received energy-per-bit to noise-density
f	Transmitter or receiver frequency
g	Gravitational load, i.e., 9.81 ms^{-2}

G_R	Receiver Gain
G_T	Transmitted Gain
G_{Dipole}	Antenna Gain
$G_{Helical}$	Helical antenna gain
$\frac{G}{T}$	Figure-of-Merit
h	Satellite's altitude
i	Inclination
I_d	Inherent degradation of a solar array
I_{COG}	Inertia Tensor
ISL	Isotropic signal level
λ	Nadir angle between satellite and ground station
λ	Wavelength
l	Helical structure turns spacing
L	Helical circumference
L_a	Atmospheric loss
L_i	Ionospheric loss
L_l	Line loss
L_{FP}	Footprint length
L_P	Path loss
L_R	Rain loss
$L_{Implementation}$	Implementation loss
$L_{Pointing}$	Pointing loss
$L_{Polarization}$	Polarization loss
m	Structural mass
η_0	Satellite's material absorptivity

n	Load factor
n	Load transmission efficiency
n	Number of turns of the helical structure
N	Number of batteries
ρ_0	Atmospheric referenced density
P	Applied load
P	Total orbital period
P_0	Ideal solar cell output
P_e	Power required during an eclipse
P_d	Power required during a daylight
P_i	Solar illumination intensity, i.e., 1368 W/m ²
P_T	Transmitted power
P_{BOL}	Power at beginning-of-life
P_{EOL}	Power at end-of-life
Q_{Abs}	Portion of absorbed incoming radiation by the material, independent of the incident angle of the radiation
Q_{Dis}	Radiation converted into heat energy because of some electrical or mechanical operations
Q_{Emit}	Fraction of energy emitted relative to an ideal blackbody at the same temperature
Q_{ER}	Power due to sunlight reflected from the earth
Q_i	Power from the heat produced inside the satellite
Q_{IR}	Radiant infrared power emitted from the earth due to its temperature
Q_{Sun}	Direct thermal power from the sun
R_E	Earth radius
$R_{Available}$	Available downlink rate

$R_{Transmitted}$	Transmitted downlink rate
σ	Boltzmann constant, i.e., $-228.6 \text{ dBW/K Hz}^{-1}$
σ_{Avg}	Average stress
σ_B	Stefan-Boltzmann constant, i.e., $5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-4}$
S	Path length or slant range
SF	Safety factor
$\frac{S}{N_0}$	Signal-to-noise ratio
θ	Angle measured between satellite-sun vector and a vector normal to the solar array
θ	Cone angle
θ	True anomaly
Ω	Right ascension of the ascending node (RAAN)
T_0	Average external temperature
T_d	Daylight period
T_e	Eclipse period
T_s	System noise temperature
$T_{BetweenContacts}$	Time between access
$T_{Contacts}$	Contact time
ω	Argument of perigee
$x_{COG}, y_{COG}, z_{COG}$	Centre of gravity on all three axes
X_d	Power regulation efficiency during a daylight
X_e	Power regulation efficiency during an eclipse

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AdvCAT	Advanced Conjunction Analysis Toolkit
AGI	Analytical Graphics, Inc.
AMSAT	Radio Amateur Satellite Corporation
BOL	Beginning-of-Life
CAD	Computer-aided Design
CMOS	Complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor
COG	Center of Gravity
COTS	Commercial-off-the-shelf
CRRES	Combined Release and Radiation Effects Satellite
CSS	CUTE Separation System
CSSI	Center for Space Standards and Innovation
DAS	Debris Assessment Software
DET	Direct Energy Transfer
DC	Direct Current
DOD	Depth-of-Discharge
DSS	Dassault Systèmes
EOL	End-of-Life
FE	Finite Element
FOV	Field of view
GaAs	Gallium-Arsenide
GEO	Geostationary Orbit
GEVS	General Environmental Verification Standard

GMAT	General Mission Analysis Tool
GMSK	Gaussian Minimum Shift Keying
GPS	Global Positioning System
GSFC	Goddard Space Flight Center
HPOP	High-Precision Orbit Propagator
IARU	International Amateur Radio Union
InP	Indium Phosphide
IR	Infrared
ISIPOD	ISIS Payload Orbital Dispenser
ISIS	Innovative Solutions in Space, BV
ITU	International Telecommunication Union
JEM	Japanese Experimental Module
J-POD	JAXA-Picosatellite Orbital Deployer
J-SSOD	JEM Small Satellite Orbital Deployer
LEO	Low Earth Orbit
MJ	Multi-Junction
MSC	MacNeal-Schwendler Corporation
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NPS	Naval Postgraduate School
NRCSD	NanoRacks CubeSat Deployer
NRLMSISE	US Naval Research Laboratory Mass Spectrometer and Scatter - Exosphere
OPAL	Orbiting Automated Picosat Launcher
PCB	Printed Circuit Board
P-POD	Poly Picosatellite Orbital Deployer

PPT	Peak Power Tracking
PSD	Power Spectral Density
RAAN	Right Ascension of Ascending Node
RIC	Radial, In-Track, and Cross
ROKAFA	Republic of Korea Air Force Academy
SAA	South Atlantic Anomaly
SALT	Semi-Analytical Liu Theory
SEET	Space Environment and Effects Tool
SGP4	Simplified General Perturbations No. 4
Si	Silicon
SJ	Single-Junction
SMAD	Space Mission Analysis and Design
SPL	Single Picosatellite Launcher
SSDL	Space Systems Development Laboratory
STK	Systems Tool Kit
TEC	Total Electron Content
TeNeP	Temperature and Electron Density Probe
TLE	Two-Line Element
T-POD	Tokyo Picosatellite Orbital Deployer
TSA	Thin Sheet Amorphous
TT&C	Telemetry, Tracking, and Command
U	Unit
UTJ	Ultra-Triple Junction
UV	Ultra Violet
XPOD	eXperimental Push Out Deployer

PEMBANGUNAN MYSat (1U CUBESAT):

ANALISIS MISI DAN REKABENTUK

ABSTRAK

Platform angkasa berkos rendah diperlukan untuk mengukur ketumpatan elektron di ruang Ionosfera untuk membuktikan hubungan anomali bumi dan perubahan ketumpatan elektron. Kaedah pengukuran terdahulu dilakukan menggunakan satelit traditional yg sangat mahal dan bersaiz besar. Namun, pembangunan satelit adalah rumit kerana komponen dipilih perlu optimal dan dilaksanakan untuk misi itu. Analisis reka bentuk awal dilakukan untuk menentukan keperluan sesuai untuk misi dan setiap subsistem. Oleh itu, kajian ini membentangkan analisis misi dan reka bentuk struktur dan analisis 1U Satelit Kiub dinamakan MYSat.

MYSat dijangka dilancarkan menggunakan JEM Small Satellite Orbital Deployer (J-SSOD) di Stesen Angkasa Antarabangsa (ISS) dengan misi mengukur ketumpatan elektron di Ionosfera. Kajian bermula dengan definisi misi melalui menyenaraikan objektif dan keperluan misi dan subsistem. Seterusnya, analisis orbit MYSat dilakukan menggunakan perisian Systems Tool Kit (STK) untuk mengira jangka hayat orbit. Simulasi ini juga dilakukan untuk menentukan masa pengcahayaan dan jejak bumi MYSat. Hasil simulasi dan konsep operasi itu dimasukkan ke keperluan subsistem dan bajet awal subsistem dikira. Struktur MYSat juga direka dan analisis struktur dilakukan menggunakan perisian ANSYS.

MYSat akan mengorbit pada altitud 400 km dengan jangkaan hayat 1 ke 1.3 tahun. Ia tidak mempunyai kawalan tingkah laku dan dilengkapi dengan sistem kawalan therma pasif. Jaringan komunikasi menggunakan Penerima Frekuensi Sangat

Tinggi (VHF) (114-146 MHz), dan Pemancar Frekuensi Ultra Tinggi (UHF) (435-438 MHz) dengan kuasa pancaran terhad kepada 1.5 W. Sel solar GaAs (UTJ) digunakan untuk penjanaan kuasa dilengkapi bateri Li-ion. Semua subsistem termasuk unit sains Prob Suhu dan Ketumpatan Elecktron (TeNeP) telah diintegrasikan ke dalam struktur bersaiz 10×10×11.35 cm dengan berat maksimum 1.30 kg. Hasil kajian menunjukkan rekaan dibangunkan boleh dilaksanakan dan beroperasi dengan cekap menggunakan kuasa dan berat tersedia. Hasil analisis struktur menunjukkan purata tekanan di alami oleh MYSat adalah di bawah kekuatan akhir bahan itu. Oleh itu, struktur itu mampu menampung keadaan tekanan yang melampau. Analisis itu juga menunjukkan nombor ballistik dan frekuensi semula jadi MYSat mengikut kehendak system pelancaran J-SSOD.

Kaedah yang digunakan adalah berkesan dalam menganggar keputusan awal, tetapi sekumpulan ahli pakar disyorkan untuk projek ini kerana ia melibatkan bidang berlainan; Oleh itu, analisis yang lebih terperinci dapat dilakukan untuk meningkatkan pembangunan MYSat untuk misi untuk berjaya.

Kata Kunci-komponen; satelit kiub; reka bentuk; elektron; MYSat; nanosatelit; kuasa.